

REMARKS

In the Advisory Action the Examiner indicated that the pivoting angle γ creates the feeding angle β in the prior art, while the invention can not have such an angle and remain in a plane parallel to the feeding axis as required by claim 1. The new reference was not found.

In connection with this, applicants wish to make the following remarks.

The Examiner is correct that both in the prior art and in the applicant's invention the pivoting angle γ and a plane is available which extends parallel to and at a distance E from an axis of the rolling product. Neither the use of a parallel plane nor a distance E is new and patentable.

In the applicant's invention there is a pivoting angle γ which makes possible the creation of the feeding angle β . Therefore, the first statement of the Examiner is correct and applicable to the present invention. However, the second statement of the Examiner is not accurate. In accordance with the applicant's invention there is both the pivoting angle γ , and also the plane which extends parallel to and at a distance E from the

axis of the rolling product and in which the corresponding roller axis is located.

In order to prove that this is correct, a drawing sheet with two figures is submitted herewith. The upper figure exactly corresponds to the patent drawing. It can be seen that the roller axis 4 extends in a plane which is arranged parallel to and at a distance E to the axis of the rolling product perpendicular to the plane of the drawing. The figure below shows a roller with a roller axis which is arranged inclinedly at a pivoting angle. In the lower figure, contrary to the opinion of the Examiner, in the second set a pivoting angle and the parallel plane corresponding to claim 1 are available and visible. One can think that the lower figure corresponds only to the prior art, but not to the applicant's invention. However, this is not so.

Applicants have turned the upper figure only about the longitudinal axis of the rolling product, as also is the case in reality, and then rollers rotate not only about themselves, but also about the longitudinal axis of the rolling product W. The lower figure is exactly the same figure as above, but it is shown only in another position during the rotation about the longitudinal axis of the rolling product W. To confirm that this is true, a piece of transparent paper can be used. If one puts the transparent paper on the upper figure and draws it through the transparent paper with holding a

needle in the point W so that the needle forms the longitudinal axis of the rolling product, and turn the transparent paper around the needle (the longitudinal axis of the rolling product), then the lower figure will be obtained.

Therefore, it is believed to be clear that in the present invention as in the prior art, a pivoting angle is provided and a lateral distance E between the plane of the roller axis and the longitudinal axis of the rolling product is provided as well, and both extend parallel to one another.

In view of the above presented considerations, the Examiner is respectfully requested to review his original rejection on formal grounds and to allow the present application.


Applicants have also made a correction in claim 1, line 6, to provide a reference to a proper angle, in particular to the pivoting angle.

Reconsideration and allowance of present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance,

then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Any costs involved should be charged to the deposit account of the undersigned (No. 19-4675). Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 212-687-5068).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 27233

